

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,546 07/24/2003		Yutaka Hirose	YMOR:294	YMOR:294 8197	
6160	7590	08/04/2004		EXAM	IINER
PARKHUR	ST & W	ENDEL, L.L.P.		PAREKH, NITIN	
1421 PRINC	E STREE	T			
SUITE 210				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314-2805				. 2811	

DATE MAILED: 08/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Symmetry	10/625,546	HIROSE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nitin Parekh	2811 ·				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONED	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Ju	<u>ne 2004</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)⊠¹The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 July 2003</u> °is/are: a)⊠'accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	Irawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No: (2000) (2000) (2000) (2000) (2000) (2000)						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4		atent Application (PTO-152)				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/625,546 Page 2

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The Information Disclosure Statement filed on 07-24-03 has been considered.

Election/Restriction

2. Applicant's election with traverse of Group II, claims 1-3 in Paper No. 3 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that in the requirement for an election, Groups I and II differ only in a semiconductor device and method for making the same. Requiring an election based on the above-noted differences would appear to be unwarrant since the fields of search appear to be almost identical. This is not found persuasive because referring to the restriction requirement set forth in the Office Action paper no.2, it clearly shows that the alternative method proposed by the examiner would be distinct from the process claimed. Additionally, the search is not coextensive as evidenced by the different fields of search for the process and product as cited in the previous restriction requirement. Furthermore, Applicant has not provided a convincing argument that the materially different processes would not be suitable in producing the claimed device.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made **FINAL**.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2811

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Herner et al. (US Pat. 2003/0030147).

Regarding claims 1-3, Herner et al. disclose a contact formation method comprising:

- forming a composite film comprising silicon (Si) and titanium (Ti) on a surface layer of a semiconductor region (see 28 and 30 respectively on the region 12 in Fig. 3; sections 0021-0023) of a semiconductor material including a Group III nitride semiconductor (see section 0039)
- the Si and Ti films being formed in the order of depositing Si followed by Ti (sections 0022, 0023 and 0028), and
- heat treating/annealing the films and the semiconductor layer at a temperature of about 800 deg. C (section 0025)

(Fig. 2-5; sections 0022-0028; pp. 1-4).

and a state of the later of the second of the first of the second of the

Art Unit: 2811

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nitin Parekh whose telephone number is 571-272-1663. The examiner can normally be reached on 09:00AM-05:30PM.

and the state of t

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on 571-272-1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9318.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Nitin Parekh

PATENT EAMINER

NP

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

07-25-04